# **Cooperative Agreements**

Partnerships in NRM PROSPECT Course



"The views, opinions and findings contained in this report are those of the authors(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation."





# What is a Cooperative Agreement?

A legal instrument as described by 31 USC 63, the Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act where <u>funds</u> (or a thing of value) are being <u>transferred to a partner</u>, where <u>substantial involvement</u> is expected between the Corps and the partner when carrying out the activities within the agreement.

Substantial involvement may include collaboration, participation, or intervention in the program or activity to be performed under the cooperative agreement.

These agreements must be executed by a certified grants officer.

Procedures for administering these agreements must comply with Department of Defense Directive 3210.06 (Defense Grant and Agreement Regulatory System (DGARS).





# **USACE** Cooperative Agreements

**Note**: USACE authority for cooperative agreements related to the recreation and environmental stewardship is limited to specific types/purposes:

Educational and training activities



- Research and development CESU
- Management of undesirable plants on Federal lands
- Fish, wildlife, water quality, cultural resource management with Indian Tribes





# Overarching Authority for Cooperative Agreements - FGCA

**41 USC 501, Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act of 1977** (Amended by 31 USC 6301-08 Using Procurement Contracts and Grant and Cooperative Agreements)

- Requires federal agencies <u>characterize the relationship</u> between a federal and non-federal party as:
  - Procurement Contract or
  - Federal Financial Assistance

This act set forth requirements to use cooperative agreements and grants to transfer funds to non-Federal entities, but did not provide authority by itself to enter into an agreement. There must be specific authority for the type of work being done.





# Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act of 1977 (cont.)

31 USC 6301-08, Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act of 1977 (Continued)

If authority is present => Must determine whether the proper instrument for the activity is a contract, cooperative agreement, or grant.

**Contract**: If the principle purpose is to provide something for the direct benefit or use of the Federal government

Assistance agreement (Cooperative agreement): If the purpose is to carry out a public purpose of support, or stimulate activities that are not for the direct benefit or use of the Federal government

Cooperative agreements should be used if <u>substantial involvement</u> between the agency and the other involved party is expected.





## **Noxious Weed Cooperative Agreements**

# 7 USC 2814: Federal Noxious Weed Act of 1974 (Management of undesirable plants on Federal lands)

- Agreements with <u>State agencies</u> to establish integrated management systems to control or contain undesirable plant species
- The cooperative agreement will:
  - prioritize and target undesirable plant species to be controlled
  - describe the integrated management system.
  - define the duties of the Federal agency and the State agency
  - establish a timeframe
- Integrated management system can include:
  - > Education
  - Preventative measures
  - Physical or mechanical methods
  - Biological methods
  - Cultural methods
  - Land management such as livestock/wildlife grazing





# Training and Education Cooperative Agreements

33 USC 2339, WRDA 2000, Section 213a: Assistance Programs

- To further <u>training</u> and <u>educational</u> opportunities about water resources development projects
- May enter into <u>cooperative agreements</u> with <u>non-Federal</u> public and <u>nonprofit</u> entities
- For services relating to natural resources conservation or recreation
  - Delegation of authority provided down from the Secretary of Army to ASA (CW), DCW, MSC Commanders, District Commanders in spring 2015
  - Allows district grants officers to enter into local cooperative agreements under this authority
  - Similar to a contract, but provides more flexibility in the scope of work that often results in more getting done than through a contract
  - Career pipeline to participants, more diversity of employees





# National Student Conservation Association Cooperative Agreement

- National cooperative agreement administered by Fort Worth and Galveston Districts, signed January 31, 2016. (Option year 2 will be effective Jan 31, 2018)
- Started off with 6 pilot projects to test out the work order process.
- Open to rest of country October 2016
- USACE pays for crews and interns in a fee-for-service cost share, transferring funds via MIPR to SWF
- Corps provides training, equipment, on-the-job transportation, and housing (or \$ for housing)
- SCA provides round-trip travel and living allowance, liability/health insurance,
   \*AmeriCorps education awards
   \*(when available)



## 2016 SCA Activity at Corps Lakes

#### National agreement: 25 interns

- 12 Public safety interns at various Corps lakes
- 6 Trail crew interns at Lewisville Lake
- 5 GIS interns in Sacramento District
- 2 interns (GIS and Outreach) at Willamette Valley

#### Local agreements: 27 interns

- Natural Resource Assistant/Park Ranger/Interpretation Raystown, Rivers Project Office, Illinois Waterway, Deer Creek, Paint Creek, Buckhorn, Dillon, Alum Creek
- Land management/boundary survey/Invasive species corps Rivers Project, Mississippi River Project Office
- Forestry technician St Paul District
- GIS intern- West Hill
- Ecological restoration Coralville





### 2018 SCA Intern Cost Estimates

#### SCA Conservation/Recreation Internship Program FY18 Standard Internship Cost Estimates

For Internships Starting Between 10.1.17 - 9.30.18

#### Cost Estimate

Туре	Intern Weeks	w/ housing provided	w/ \$500/mo. housing allowance	w/ \$750/mo. housing allowance	
Турс	IIIterii Weeks	w/ nousing provided	W/ \$500/mor nousing anowance	W/ \$750/1110: Housing dilowance	
Short-term	12	\$4,965 - \$5,580	\$7,010 - \$7,625	\$8,035 - \$8,650	
Short-term	16	\$5,910 - \$6,525	\$8,640 -\$9,255	\$10,005 - \$10,620	
	26	\$13,085 - \$13,700	\$17,175 -\$17,790	\$19,225 - \$19,840	
Long-term	39	\$18,380 - \$18,995	\$24,520 -\$25,135	\$27,590 - \$28,205	
	52	\$23,680 - \$24,290	\$31,865 -\$32,480	\$35,960 - \$36,570	

#### NOTES:

All cost estimates assume standard SCA intern benefits and allowance rates

All positions include a cost range due to varying intern travel grant amounts determined by whether or not the intern is required to have a personal vehicle on site.

# SCA Conservation/Recreation HS Work Crew Program Summer 2018 Standard Crew Cost Estimates

Crew Length	SCA Crew Leaders	SCA Crew Members	Estimated Partner Cost
2 weeks	2	8	\$25,050
3 weeks	2	8	\$29,400
4 weeks	2	8	\$33,750
5 weeks	2	8	\$38,100

#### NOTES:

All cost estimates assume SCA provided transportation for the Crew.

## **About Conservation Corps**

More than 130 organizations around the country that are part of the Corps Network <a href="http://www.corpsnetwork.org/">http://www.corpsnetwork.org/</a>



- 501(c)(3) non-profit organizations
- Comprehensive youth development programs that engage young people, ages 16 - 25 and returning veterans up to age 35, in service to their communities and the environment
- Corps members receive
  - ► Job training/hands-on work experience
  - Academic programming
  - ▶ Support services
  - Leadership skills
  - Stipend based on living wage






## **NC** Youth Conservation Corps

- Regional agreement administered by Jacksonville District grants officer. Currently at Falls Lake, Jordan Lake, and Philpott Lake.
- Comprehensive youth development program using nature to teach:
  - Job and leadership skills
  - Community service
  - Environmental stewardship
  - Personal responsibility























# **Cooperative Agreements with Tribes**

# WRRDA 2014, Sec 1031(b), Cooperative Agreements with Indian Tribes


- Authorizes Indian Tribes or a designated representative of an Indian tribe to carry out authorized activities of the Corps of Engineers to protect fish, wildlife, water quality, and cultural resources.
- Agreements will allow Tribes to build economic capacity while working on infrastructure and natural and cultural resources of mutual interest with USACE, especially in Indian Country.
- Allows resource sharing on a Government-to-Government basis.
- District sets up MOA with each Tribe as the overarching agreement to establish purpose and procedural steps for the two governments
- Cooperative agreement to transfer funds for specific work
- Delegation memo from ASA to DCW June 9, 2016





## Research and Development Cooperative Agreements – CESU Program

- A national network of federal agencies, universities, tribes, state/ local governments working together to provide technical, scientific, and educational support for natural/cultural resource management
- Organized in 17 geographic units with >390 partners
- MOU between agencies. Specific projects set up by cooperative agreements.



## **Cooperative Ecosystem Studies Units**

- Corps agreements coordinated by Deberay Carmichael and Sherry Whitaker through ERDC
- Host universities coordinate admin support and access to staff/students
- Projects for education, research, technical assistance
- Facilitates collaboration across agencies/non-fed partners
- Provides a pathway for federal agencies to fund projects at partner institutions with defined overhead (17.5%) and cost-sharing (average overhead rate outside of CESU network is 51%)
- Cooperative agreement can be issued for 5 years (using options)
- http://www.cesu.psu.edu/
- Procedures to initiate a CESU project on Gateway






# Corps CESU Project: Libby Dam


\* Study 1 - Didymosphenia geminata in the Intermountain Northwest and Long-term Management Strategies for Nuisance Mats

- Growing issue in the Kootenai River since 2001 with major implications for ecosystem
- Native, but invasive
- Little known about Didymo
- Libby Dam becoming a worldwide research hub



\*Study 2: Experimental determination of the role of Fe on the growth of Didymosphenia geminata in the Kootenai River, Montana, downstream from Libby Dam





\*Study 3: In the works...





### Corps CESU Project – Mississippi River Project (MVR)

#### **Avian Monitoring Report Objectives**

- Iowa State University
- Summarize and analyze >30 years of data
- Monitoring two forest management sites
- Examine management affect on bird usage
- Study trends of 7 species of Greatest Conservation Need (GCN)



- 184 species identified
- 7 GCN species stable to increasing
- Bird abundance and diversity post harvest stable/increasing
- Future monitoring recommendations


#### **Benefits**

- Comprehensive analysis of data from outside source that staff could never analyze during normal duties
- Support future forestry and T&E species management protocols with partners
- Fairly easy process; minor upfront coordination w/ ERDC and setting up/receiving on MIPR









#### FINAL REPORT

Project Title. Bird is age summery and analysis of bottomland firest management areas in Pool. 13 and 18 of the Opper Mississippi River (Number W912HZ-15-801-0001).

Stephen J. Die van ve, Department of Natural Resource Foolings and Management, 359 Science Hall H. Iowa State University, Ames, IA 59011; Leannie conjuginariate eds; Plante: 515-254-1348.

Design and data collection completed by Peter C. Peterson, Kelly J. McKay, and Brian I Oktobra.

#### INTRODUCTION

The LLS. Army Corp. of Flaginess (USACE) Mississippi River Project corresponds more an 20,000 cores over circums agents and constant labels that is been to bear to some dome. 200 species of Flads. This region is channel on the language flat individuply flator Flyway and has been designated as a folkedly language that find Arms. Note, of the men is managed for within including several refrage sizes, afthough some land is used for other activities individually extend refrage sizes, afthough some land is used for other activities individually strategies as severy been conducted in some of the Project's sizes and there is a react on subset of those data (but, surregs) is the logic that the residence on the Project's considerate and activities and these constraints of the project's sizes and the constraints are comparable to those of the Project's sizes and the constraints are comparable to those of the Project's after the residence of the Project's sizes and the constraints are comparable to the constraints of the Project's sizes and the constraints are constraints and the constraints are constraints.

DATA CLEANING AND ANALYSIS

Axing point counts have been conducted or two sites annually since the early 1989. These surveys were ministent if hams find in Novel 18 in 1981 (13 step) and in Plenout 10 tech in Dec 13 in 1981 (5 step), which much year a loral of Sun registrate counts are completed (anti-May, early surgest and early September) to provide information that includes the peaks of opining and fill anguration gives the localing sensor. From counts are 10 min in length irreduced to 5 min after 1989) and an construction that mandard bard corresponding sensor gardy manning, (13). Counts included they are this detected by sight or count and include flyow me, only these observers have collected birth point count data since 1983, thus minimizing concerns about possible observers have collected birth point count data since 1983, thus minimizing concerns about possible observer have.

The use that were provided by USACCH suff and had been opported and verted for consistents, in the process of propering the data for summary and analyses I profitement in additional count of that clearing. I contented all intergrits of incontent species manse, integral Typesin." But sprand townsome manse changes (e.g., Nowlhean United was recursional Belliamor Ostole damag the survey) period), and contented a few other manse errors. I also removed Condina Chiladore Cheese on on known version in system close to the survey area and language those individuals under Black-supped Chiladore. Survey data from Planead Creek on 17 June 2013 included a plannamental manter and disversity of requirems, no fewer than 40 of Analytical Chiladores. The Chiladores of the Chiladores of the Chiladores of the Chiladores of the Chiladores. The Chiladores of the Chila

At both sites their were typically from oxion surveys per year (exceptions were 2 in 1983 and 3 in 1983 at Harron Island and 1 in 1985 at Pleasant Creeks, roughly one each in May, June.



## Corps CESU Project: John Day/Willow Creek

Evaluating Flora and Fauna Diversity for Special Status Species Protection

#### Why CESU?

- 2016 \$\$ Increment 4 (invasive species)
- Staff shortage/expertise (3 Rangers Total)
  - 13 Rec sites, 2 Class "A" campgrounds (Apr-Oct)
  - ES for 14k acres and 240 shoreline miles
- Need to know "What" in order to manage
  - Master plan outdated (1976)
  - No current assessments
  - OMBIL vegetation data (degraded, transitioning, sustainable)

#### CESU Objectives (5 total)

https://corpslakes.erdc.dren.mil/employees/success.cfm?ld=partner-school&view=Yes

#### **Timeline**

_	Jan	Concept	
_	Feb-Mar	Planning	
_	Apr	MIPR, passback with ERDC SME	
_	May	Advertise SOI, Response to SOI (1), Request full	proposal
_	Aug	Receive full proposal, Technical cost evaluation	
_	Sep	Award Cooperative Agreement	<b>TXX</b>
			10.00



# Corps CESU Project: Albeni Falls

#### Avian Surveys Objectives:

- Identify species, numbers, diversity
- Identify sensitive habitats
- Provide management recommendations



157 species identified – 3,383 birds counted 8 species of Greatest Conservation Need 19 eBird hotspots identified



#### Canada Goose





Peregrine Falcon





# Process to Initiate a Project with a CESU

- Identify a project and the appropriate CESU region must be a public benefit and clear R&D element
- 2. Prepare a statement of interest (SOI) (like a Scope of Work)
- Transfer funds via MIPR to ERDC for processing by their grants officer. Typical admin costs = 6% of project cost
- Grants officer contacts CESU host university to post the SOI for a minimum of 10 days for competition.
- 5. District technical POC reviews SOIs and prepares a Request for Full Proposal (RFP) to the selected recipient.
- 6. Technical evaluation of full proposal by District POC
- 7. Award of cooperative agreement

  Note: Average time to award is 2 4 months depending on ERDC workload and priorities



# Resources: NRM Gateway

https://corpslakes.erdc.dren.mil/partners/cesu/cesu.cfm

#### Cooperative Ecosystem Studies Units (CESU) Network and the Corps Civil Works Program

On 21 June 2005, Mr. Steve Stockton, Deputy Director, Civil Works signed an MOU authorizing the US Army Corps of Engineers Civil Works Program to join the CESU Network. This gave the Corps the ability to join the 17 individual units of the CESU Network.

The CESU Network provides opportunities for federal agencies, NGO's and Universities to collaborate on research, technical assistance and education in the biological, physical, social and cultural sciences needed to address natural and cultural resource management issues at multiple scales and in an ecosystem context.

Each CESU is composed of federal agencies, a host university, and partner institutions. CESU agreements allow each of the participating federal agencies to efficiently transfer funds and duty station employees to university partners through cooperative agreements while maintaining responsibility for agency-sponsored activities within CESU's. CESU's are organized around biogeographic areas and linked together in the CESU network.

To date the Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) has joined 16 CESU's on behalf of the Corps of Engineers Civil Works Program under the authority of <u>Title 10 U.S.C. 2358</u>. A list of CESU's ERDC has joined is provided below.

Any Corps office, with the appropriate authority, can participate in CESU activities and have work performed under a cooperative agreement by the four CESU's for which the Corps is a member. See the FAQ's for more information on how Corps offices outside ERDC can participate. The process for ERDC offices to initiate work with CESU's is found under the "policy and procedures" button below.

- Policy & Procedures
- Program Summary
- CESU Summaries
- FAQs

- District Process to Initiate CESU
- CESU Network Map
- Agreements

List of CESU's ERDC has joined















# **Cooperative Agreement Process**

- National SOP published on Contracting Policy page, Sep 2017
- Collaborative process between Operations, Contracting (Grants Officer/Specialist), and Counsel from pre-award through closeout.
- Basic steps:
  - 1. Define need and goals/develop Scope of Work (OP/CT)
  - 2. Provide funding document PR&C and labor code (OP)
  - 3. Prepare cooperative agreement authorization document (CT)
  - 4. Conduct market research for eligible recipients to determine sole source or competitive opportunity (OP/CT)
  - 5. Define eligibility requirements and evaluation criteria (OP/CT)
  - 6. Develop funding opportunity announcement (OP/CT)
  - 7. Post FOA on Grants.gov for a minimum of 10 days (CT)
  - 8. Determine selection committee members (OP)





# **Cooperative Agreement Process**

- 9. Selection committee review proposals: 30+ days (OP/CT)
- 10. Select recipient and conduct negotiations (OP/CT)
- 11. Create cooperative agreement document (OP/CT)
- 12. Notify recipient of award (CT)
- 13. Sign cooperative agreement document (CT)
- 14. Provide technical assistance to recipient (OP)
- 15. Conduct site visits and review progress reports (OP)
- 16. Maintain official CA documents in Paperless Contract File (CT)
- 17. Review and approve invoices (OP)
- 18. Review performance and financial reports (CT)
- 19. Issue modifications as necessary (OP/CT)
- 20. Review final performance report and close out (OP/CT)





# Let's take a Closer Look at a Cooperative Agreement:

https://corpslakes.erdc.dren.mil/employees/coopagree/templates.cfm

#### COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT

AGREEMENT	NUMBER: XXXXXX-XX-2-XXXX	EFFECTIVE DATE: XX Month XXXX			
ISSUED BY:		ISSUED TO:			
The United State US Army Corps Address City, State, Zip	es of America of Engineers, <i>District Name</i> District	[Recipient Name and Address]			
CONCERNING					
AUTHORIZED	BY:				
CFDA NUMBE	CFDA NUMBER: DUNS NUMBER:				
RECIPIENT TY	PE: Government Entity Non-Profit Org	anization Hospital	University Other (specify):		
AMOUNT: \$		COST SHARE:			
PROJECT PERIOD: BUDGET PERIOD:					
ADMINISTER	ED BY: District Grants Office Name, Pi	none, Email  F CONTENTS			
Section	<u>Title</u>	Section	<u>Title</u>		
1	Administrative Information	5	Property Management		
2	Programmatic Requirements	6	Claims, Disputes, and Appeals		
3	Term	7	Compliance with Laws		
4	Financial Matters	8	Indemnification		



NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFER (EFT): Pursuant to DGARS 22.810, it is a Governmentwide requirement to use EFT in the payment of any grant or cooperative agreement for which an application or proposal was submitted or renewed on or after 26 July 1996, unless the recipient has obtained a waiver by submitting to the head of the pertuent Federal agency a certification that it has neither an account with a financial institution nor an authorized payment seem. To be used, recipient must submit a Psyment Information Form (Standard Form SF-3881) to the responsible DoD payment

**District Grants/ Agreements Officers** 

					Grants Officer			
Division	District	Point of Contact	Email	Phone	or Specialist			
	LRB	Jeff Ernest	jeffrey.g.ernest@usace.army.mil	716-879-4173	Officer			
	LRC	None						
	LRE	None						
LRD	LRH	Brandon Lewis	Brandon.H.Lewis@usace.army.mil	304-399-5664	Officer			
	LRL	None						
	LRN	None						
	LRP	None						
	MVK							
MVD	MVP	None						
IVIVD	MVR	None						
	MVS							
	NAB	Jeff May	Jeffrey.B.May@usace.army.mil	410-962-5617	Officer			
NAD	NAE	None						
	NAP	None						
	NWK	Hilary Winans	hilary.m.winans@usace.army.mil	816-389-3500	Officer			
	NWO							
					-			
		Doug Hadley	doug.e.hadley@usace.army.mil	402-995-2068	Officer			
		Leigh Ann Lucas	leigh.a.lucas@usace.army.mil	402-995-2086	Officer			
NWD		Lee McCormick	lee.m.mccormick@usace.army.mil	402-995-2084	Officer			
		Stephanie Rostermundt	stephanie.a.rostermundt@usace.army.mil	402-995-2083	Officer			
	NWP	Anna Peine	anna.g.peine@usace.army.mil	503-808-4612	Officer			
		R. David Williams	roger.d.williams@usace.army.mil	206-764-3772	Officer			
		John Perez	john.m.perez@usace.army.mil	206-764-6693	Specialist			
		Monique Paano	monique.a.paano@usace.army.mil	206-764-6084	Specialist			
	NWW	Cam Allen	camilla.allen@usace.army.mil	509-527-7213	Officer			

# **District Grants/ Agreements Officers**

POD	POA	Olen Northern	Olen.R.Northern@usace.army.mil	907-753-2525	Officer		
		Susan Salaj	Susan.Salaj2@usace.army.mil	907-753-5579	Specialist		
	POF	Nicholas Johnston	nicholas.i.johnston@usace.army.mil	315-721-6095	Officer		
	POH	None					
	SAJ	Philip Mauldin	philip.m.mauldin@usace.army.mil	904-232-1240	Officer		
SAD	SAM	Sonya Rodgers	sonya.d.rodgers@usace.army.mil	251-441-5406	Officer		
SAU	SAS	Sabrina Bastine	sabrina.bastine@usace.army.mil	912-652-5943	Officer		
	SAW		None				
	SPA	Karen Irving	karen.k.irving@usace.army.mil	505-342-3356	Officer		
SPD	SPK	None					
370	SPL	Maria Cisneros	maria.p.cisneros@usace.army.mil	213-452-3242	Officer		
	SPN		None				
	SWF/ SWG	Traci Robicheau	traci.d.robicheaux@usace.army.mil	409-766-6306	Officer		
		Maria Rodriguez	maria.e.rodriguez@usace.army.mil	409-766-6331	Officer		
		Robin Prince	robin.g.prince@usace.army.mil	817-886-1045	Officer		
SWD							
		Lucille Smith	lucille.r.smith@usace.army.mil	409-766-3045	Specialist		
	SWL	None					
	SWT	None					
	ERDC	Jeri McGuffie	jeri.h.mcguffie@usace.army.mil	601-634-3128	Officer		
ERDC		Darrel Johnson	darrel.l.johnson@usace.army.mil	601-634-2773	Officer		
		Davita Baloue	davita.s.baloue@usace.army.mil	601-634-2748	Officer		
		Robbie Beard	robbie.beard@usace.army.mil	601-634-3296	Officer		
		Chelsea Whitten	chelsea.m.whitten@usace.army.mil	601-634-4679	Officer		
17/25/2012/06/2012/05/2012		Access 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		3 47	37.5 - 1		

# **Resources: NRM Gateway**

https://corpslakes.erdc.dren.mil/employees/coopagree/coopagree.cfm



Tas Capperative Agreement

### Natural Resources Management Gateway

Home Visitors Lake Discovery Recreation Env Compliance Env Stewardship Partners Rews/Events People Forums Learning GETS Tools New Postings Submit Index/Search

#### Cooperative Agreement

#### **Headquarters POC**

The <u>Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act of 1977 (FGCAA)</u> sets forth the requirements for using cooperative agreements and grants to transfer funds to non-federal entities. However, use of cooperative agreements must be specifically authorized, and the FGCAA does **not** provide such authority. There is no <u>general</u> authority for the Corps to use cooperative agreements.

A cooperative agreement is a legal instrument as described by 31 USC 63, the Federal Grant and Cooperative Agreement Act where funds are being transferred to a partner, which is used to enter into the same kind of relationship as a grant, except that substantial involvement is expected between the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the partner when carrying out the activities within the agreement. Substantial involvement may include collaboration, participation, or intervention in the program or activity to be performed under the cooperative agreement. These agreements must be executed by a certified grants officer. Procedures for administering these agreements must comply with Department of Defense Directive 3210.06 (Defense Grant and Agreement Regulatory System (DGARS).

USACE authority for cooperative agreements is limited to the following specific types/purposes:

- Management of Undesirable Plants
- Educational and training activities
- · Research and development
- · Agreements with Indian Tribes
- Cooperative Ecosystem Studies Units (CESU)
  - Policy & Procedures
  - Authorized Cooperative Agreement Types
  - Cooperative Agreement Forms and Templates

- News / Current Issues
- Existing Cooperative Agreements
- District Grants and Agreements Officers

# Questions?





